

# A Note on Approximate Randomization Test of F-measure

Hiroya Takamura  
takamura@pi.titech.ac.jp

February 18, 2015

## Abstract

approximate randomization test

## 1 Preparation

| case number | baseline | proposed | answer |
|-------------|----------|----------|--------|
| 0           | yes      | no       | yes    |
| 1           | yes      | no       | no     |
| 2           | no       | yes      | yes    |
| 3           | no       | yes      | no     |
| 4           | yes      | yes      | yes    |
| 5           | yes      | yes      | no     |
| 6           | no       | no       | yes    |
| 7           | no       | no       | no     |

Let  $t_i$  denote the number of instances in case  $i$ .

## 2 Approximate Randomization Test for F-measure

Approximate Randomization Test consists of multiple shuffles. Let  $ns$  (say, 10000) denote the number of shuffles. We compute the number  $nge$  of shuffles in which the difference between two pseudo F-measures is greater than or equal to the difference between the actual F-measures.

At each shuffle, we need to randomly determine “exchange or not” for each instance. But, the exchange of instances in the same case have the same effect on the randomized F-measure. So, we randomly draw a number  $k_i$  which indicates “how many instances in each case  $i$  are to be exchanged”.

$$\Delta_{actual} = |F^{baseline} - F^{proposed}| \quad (1)$$

For each iteration  $i$ , we compute the following:

$$k_0 \sim t_0 C_{k_0} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t_0} \quad (2)$$

$$k_1 \sim t_1 C_{k_1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t_1} \quad (3)$$

$$k_2 \sim t_2 C_{k_2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t_2} \quad (4)$$

$$k_3 \sim t_3 C_{k_3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t_3}. \quad (5)$$

$$F_{pseudo}^{baseline}(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{2 \times \frac{t_0+t_4-k_0+k_2}{t_0+t_1+t_4+t_5-(k_0+k_1)+(k_2+k_3)} \times \frac{t_0+t_4-k_0+k_2}{t_0+t_2+t_4+t_6}}{\frac{t_0+t_4-k_0+k_2}{t_0+t_1+t_4+t_5-(k_0+k_1)+(k_2+k_3)} + \frac{t_0+t_4-k_0+k_2}{t_0+t_2+t_4+t_6}} \quad (6)$$

$$F_{pseudo}^{proposed}(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{2 \times \frac{t_2+t_4-k_2+k_0}{t_2+t_3+t_4+t_5-(k_2+k_3)+(k_0+k_1)} \times \frac{t_2+t_4-k_2+k_0}{t_0+t_2+t_4+t_6}}{\frac{t_2+t_4-k_2+k_0}{t_2+t_3+t_4+t_5-(k_2+k_3)+(k_0+k_1)} + \frac{t_2+t_4-k_2+k_0}{t_0+t_2+t_4+t_6}} \quad (7)$$

$$\Delta_{pseudo} = |F_{pseudo}^{baseline} - F_{pseudo}^{proposed}| \quad (8)$$

$$\text{If } \Delta_{pseudo} \leq \Delta_{actual} \quad (9)$$

$$\text{then } nge + + \quad (10)$$

This way we obtain *nge*. Then, the fraction

$$\frac{nge + 1}{ns + 1} \quad (11)$$

gives the significance level.

### 3 Conclusion

randomization test.

This note was written with reference to the papers by Chinchor et al. [1] and Efron and Tibshirani [2].

### References

- [1] Nancy Chinchor, Lynette Hirschman, and David D. Lewis. Evaluating message understanding systems: An analysis of the third Message Understanding Conference (MUC-3). *Computational Linguistics*, 19(3):409–449, 1993.
- [2] Bradley Efron and Robert Tibshirani. Statistical data analysis in the computer age. *Science*, 253:390–395, 1991.